Collaboration Call 4/11/19

timeline updates

0

Timeline Update

5.0 – April 30th 2019

Includes:

- Landing Page Export Investments, Edu. Enrollments, Projects, C. Projects
- Simple PubMed job scheduler!
- Investigator Publication Review (IPR) usage report
- IPR Investigator-Specific "All pubs vs internally reviewed" controls
- Investments Attachments
- Grant Import Titles optional (no more re-cleaning!)
- Investments Additional Fields
- Grant (lifetime) Notes field
- Educational Enrollments Publication & Leveraged Grant links
- Prerequisites for updated pubs export report with type-specific collaboration
- New Release Schedule is 3 per year: April (5.0), August (5.1), December (5.2)
 - on track to complete this, just doing final testing now
 - collaborative publication matrix with member type parameter is coming, can get an early version if needed
 Lauren really wants it for EAB

Timeline Update

- **5.1** August 2019
- **5.2** December 2019

Backlog Order:

- Investments Import
- Educational Enrollments Import
- Investigator Publication Review (IPR) Cancer Relevance
- · IPR & "Normal" Pub Review Shared Resources
- Streamlined Publications Citation Report
- · Grant Import New unique ID options
- · Grant Import Allow period date updates
 - REQUIRES SEPARATE GRANT LIFETIME & PERIOD IMPORTS
 - ordered by priority roughly
 - no objections to splitting grant lifetime and period imports into two different imports
- collaborator topics

0

0

Collaborator Topics

Pilot-RFA links/tags

Are you linking pilot awards to specific RFAs? If so, how? If not, do you want to?

yes, would be useful

	Collaborator Topics
	Activity Code DP2
	DP2 Awards are coded as Training in EVAL (like all D/F/K/T awards), and appear on a Training 2A report
	<u>https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/rfa-files/RFA-rm-18-008.html</u> suggests a Research coding. Concerns?
0	 no objections to making this change
	Collaborator Topics Publications Landing Page
	A "Reviewed Y/N" filter is a frequent request, but is very hard.
	A "Linked Y/N" filter is much easier. Does it get us 80%?
o	 could do a filter pretty easily of if the pub is linked to any people would filter these out be default mild agreement to implement this and put on by default
	prator Topics ons Citation Report
Fublicatio	
	ested – a streamlined citation report with formatting options like selected pership types bolded/underlined
Court	esy of Yale Cancer Center, another formatting option – Word!
TBD	F THIS IS POSSIBLE IN EVAL
We'	Il research if there's interest
 report from Yale virus and other infection-associated cancers (voic) publications calendar year 2016 – March 2017 	
	SELECTED PUBLICATIONS Virus and Other Infection-associated Cancers (VOIC) Program Members in bold All other Cancer Center Program Members in <u>underline</u> (Sorted in reverse chronological order)
	Total Number of Publications: 81 *Intra-programmatic publications: 7 (9%) **Inter-programmatic publications: 15 (19%) */**Intra/Inter-programmatic publications: 4 (5%)
	 Iwasaki A Immune Regulation of Antibody Access to Neuronal Tissues. Trends Mol Med 23: 227-245 (03 /2017) PM ID: 28185790 2. Cheraphiou S, Kuo P, Judson BL
	 Onerapinou 3, Nov P, Jouson BL Treatment delay and facility case volume are associated with survival in early-stage glottic cancer. Laryngoscope 127: 616-622 (03 /2017)
	PM ID: <u>27653749</u> 3. Mane A, Sahasrabuddhe VV, Nirmalkar A, Risbud AR, Sahay S, Bhosale RA, Vermund SH, Mehendale SM Rates and determinants of incidence and clearance of cervical HPV genotypes among HIV-
	seropositive women in Pune, India. J Clin Virol 88: 26-32 (03/2017) PM ID: <u>28152432</u> 4. Luque MT, Jenkins CA, Shepherd BE, Padgett D, Rouzier V, Succi RC, Machado DM, McGowan
	CC, Vermund SH, Pinto JA Mortality in Children with Human Immunodeficiency Virus Initiating Treatment: A Six-
	 is word a better place to start with this than excel? there is interested in this, but lot's of debate on the details here

Forte Topics IPR Review Questions

- 1. When do we start showing a pub to investigators for this? When do we stop?
 - 1. Same for "normal" review When do we start showing a pub for this? When do we stop?
- 2. Is there any appetite for service level, or should we start with service provider only, possibly adding service in the future?
- 3. Any concern about redundant data from two authors on a pub?
- 4. Service Usage Report Want one? What's in it?
- 5. Negative assertion need? "yep that's me, didn't use anything"
- UCLA

0

- currently use a web app
- do their review based on a grant period
- their faculty reviews pubs once for author, another time for services